arXiv:gr-qc/9610036v1 16 Oct 1996

Post-Newtonian extension of the Newton-Cartan theory

G. Dautcourt*
Institut für Physik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin
10115 Berlin, Germany

This article is dedicated to Andrzej Trautman, who has so clearly formulated the relations between Newton's and Einstein's ideas of spacetime structure and gravity.

Abstract

The theory obtained as a singular limit of General Relativity, if the reciprocal velocity of light is assumed to tend to zero, is known to be not exactly the Newton-Cartan theory, but a slight extension of this theory. It involves not only a Coriolis force field, which is natural in this theory (although not original Newtonian), but also a scalar field which governs the relation between Newtons time and relativistic proper time. Both fields are or can be reduced to harmonic functions, and must therefore be constants, if suitable global conditions are imposed. We assume this reduction of Newton-Cartan to Newton‘s original theory as starting point and ask for a consistent post-Newtonian extension and for possible differences to usual post-Minkowskian approximation methods, as developed, for example, by Chandrasekhar. It is shown, that both post-Newtonian frameworks are formally equivalent, as far as the field equations and the equations of motion for a hydrodynamical fluid are concerned.

1 Introduction

Approximation methods have their place in the study of observational consequences of the general theory of relativity. Since Newton's gravity theory gives excellent results at least in the Solar system, an obvious and often used approach is to take this theory as a zero order approximation and to introduce higher order corrections if required. There are two different routes to define post-Newtonian approximations. In most cases one starts with a Newtonian potential added as

* E–mail: daut@rz.hu-berlin.de

some perturbation to a Minkowski space-time [3],[25]. In spite of its practical success, this post-Minkowskian method has a certain conceptional disadvantage: Is does not really start from Newton's original theory. This could even be an advantage with respect to a sufficient accurate description of nature, since, after all, the space-time *is* nearly Minkowskian in our surroundings. Nevertheless, it is interesting that an apparently quite different approach is possible.

Since the geometrical notions used by Newton and Einstein are so different, one had to find a common mathematical framework for both theories. This was done in early papers by Friedrichs [12] and Trautman [23],[24] and later by Ehlers [11]. It was shown there that Newtons theory can be cast into a four-dimensional geometrical framework very similar to Einstein's theory.

It should then be straightforward to employ this covariant formulation of Newton's theory, also known as Newton-Cartan theory [1], [2], as a starting point for an approximation method. Indeed, an asymptotic procedure, which takes the field equations of General Relativity and gives as a singular limit the Friedrichs-Trautman formulation for large values of a parameter identified as a power of the reciproke velocity of light, is known [5],[7]. As noted in [5], for a derivation of the Newtonian theory alone it is not relevant whether the expansion parameter is the reciproke velocity of light or its square, since only diagonal terms in the metric tensor are involved. Off-diagonal terms which first came in as post-Newtonian corrections to this theory however seem to require an expansion also into odd powers of 1/c, cf. [3],[21].

One may view the procedure geometrically as an opening of the light cones of spacetime, which finally become the space-like hypersurfaces of constant Newtonian time. This picture may help to understand the action-at-a-distance character of the resulting Newtonian theory with its elliptic differential equations. Also the inverse singular limit $c \to 0$ can be discussed. In this "ultra-relativistic" limit of General Relativity the light cones shrink into a congruence of world lines, and the limiting spacetime may be considered geometrically as a four-dimensional null hypersurface in a five-dimensional Riemannian space. In this case Einstein's field equations degenerate into *ordinary* differential equations [6].

The present paper is the continuation of the article [7], but now based on an expansion in powers of $1/c$ instead of $1/c^2$. To make it independent, section 2 gives a brief formulation of the Newton-Cartan theory as a covariant space-time formulation of Newtons's gravitational theory. In section 3 the Newtonian and in section 4 the post-Newtonian limit of General Relativity is derived, together with a fluid description of matter. In most cases in special (Galileian) coordinates are used, where the field equations take there simplest form.

It was so far not clear, whether post-Newtonian corrections to the Newton-Cartan theory describe real phenomenae differently compared to usual post-Minkowskian approximation methods. Several colleagues suggested to the author years ago that a Newton-Cartan based approximation procedure would work even better. The argument was that a full consideration of G-dependent effects already

to zero order, which we expect in a pure $1/c$ expansion of a theory involving both c and G, gives better starting conditions for higher order terms in this expansion. However, this argument neglects the fact that the zero order theory is nothing else but Newtonian gravity (modulo some strange harmonic fields), and that a full version of Newtonian gravity is also present as a first approximation to the Minkowski spacetime. All what one eventually saves is one approximation step.

Indead, it is shown here, that both approximation routes are formally equivalent, although the basic spacetime concept is quite different.

To get a better view on the physics we follow our earlier approaches and do not explicitly state the differentiability conditions or the class of functions introduced here. Topics discussed here were treated with more rigorous mathematical methods by Lottermoser [18], [19] and by Rendall [21]. Many conclusions stated below are correct in a strict mathematical sense, if weighted Sobolev spaces are used (see also [4]).

2 Newton-Cartan theory

The Newton-Cartan theory (see, for example, [1],[2], [9],[10],[16],[17], [22], and for Newtonian structures arising in dimensional reductions with a null Killing field [15]) is characterized by the existence of a contravariant symmetric tensor field $h^{\mu\nu}$ of rank 3 and signature (0+++), a covariant vector field t_μ, and a symmetric affine connection $\Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu}$ on a four-dimensional manifold, such that

$$h^{\mu\nu}t_\nu = 0, \quad h^{\mu\nu}_{|\rho} = 0, \quad t_{\mu|\nu} = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

The stroke denotes the covariant derivative with respect to the connection. From the symmetry of the connection follows the existence of a scalar function $t(x^\mu)$, the absolute Newtonian time, such that $t_\mu = t_{,\mu}$. The connection is called Newtonian if the Trautman condition [24]

$$h^{\lambda[\mu}R^{\nu]}_{(\rho\sigma)\lambda} = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

is satisfied for the Riemann tensor contructed from the connection. (2.2) may be considered as part of the field equations. The remaining part is given by (we follow the sign conventions of the book by Misner, Thorne and Wheeler [20])

$$R_{\mu\nu} = R^\rho_{\mu\rho\nu} = 4\pi G\rho t_\mu t_\nu, \tag{2.3}$$

where ρ is the Newtonian matter density. For a simple perfect fluid, one has to add the equations of motion and conservation of mass, which do not follow from the field equations in a pure Newtonian setting:

$$\rho v^\mu_{|\nu} v^\nu = -h^{\mu\nu}p_{,\nu}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$v^\mu \rho_{,\mu} + \rho v^\mu_{|\mu} = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

We have already written down all basic relations of the Newton-Cartan theory. Notice that the relations (2.1) do not fix the affine connection. This is clearly seen in special coordinates with $x^0 = t, t_\mu = \delta^0_\mu$, determined up to transformations

$$t' = t + const, x^{i'} = x^{i'}(x^i, t). \tag{2.6}$$

In these coordinates $h^{\mu\nu}$ reduces to a contravariant 3-tensor h^{ik} describing the intrinsic metric of the hypersurfaces $t = const$ of equal Newtonian time t. The equations (2.1) become

$$\Gamma^0_{\mu\nu} = 0, \Gamma^i_{00} = h^{ik}\Phi_k, \Gamma^i_{0k} = h^{il}\omega_{kl} + h^{il}\dot{h}_{kl}/2, \Gamma^i_{kl} = \{^i_{kl}\}, \tag{2.7}$$

where h_{ik} is the inverse metric to h^{ik} and $\{^i_{kl}\}$ are the Christoffel symbols to the three-dimensional metric h_{ik}, a dot denotes the time derivative. The dynamical variables of the theory are a vector field Φ_k, the acceleration field, and a pseudo vector field $\omega_{kl} = -\omega_{lk}$, the Coriolis force field. The intrinsic metric of the hypersurfaces $t = const$ is determined by the spatial part of the field equations (2.3), which split into (a double stroke denotes covariant derivations with $\dot{h}_{kl\|i}$)

$$\begin{aligned} R_{kl}(h_{kl}) &= 0, & (2.8)\\ h^{kl}(\dot{h}_{li\|k} - \dot{h}_{lk\|i}) - h^{kl}\omega_{li\|k} &= 0, & (2.9)\\ h^{kl}\Phi_{k\|l} + \omega_{kl}\omega^{kl} - \ddot{h}_{kl}h^{kl}/2 - \dot{h}_{kl}\dot{h}^{kl}/4 &= 4\pi G\rho. & (2.10) \end{aligned}$$

It is seen that the Ricci tensor and hence here, in three dimensions, the Riemann tensor of the Newtonian hypersurfaces $t = const$ is zero, so h^{ik} is flat and can therefore be transformed into the constant values δ_{ik} in suitable coordinates (called Galilean coordinates) everywhere on the manifold. Transformations preserving the Galilean character of these coordinates constitute the kinematical group

$$x'^i = q^{ik}x^k + q^i, t' = t + const \tag{2.11}$$

with

$$q^{li}q^{lk} = q^{il}q^{kl} = \delta^{ik}, q^{ik} = q^{ik}(t), q^i = q^i(t), \tag{2.12}$$

corresponding to arbitrarily accelerated and rotated Cartesian coordinate systems.

Compared with Newton's classical theory, the Newton-Cartan theory appears to have more dynamical degrees of freedom. Local cosmological solutions involving these additional degrees are discussed in [8] (see also [13],[14],[22] for related cosmological questions). If Trautman's condition (2.2) is taken into account, which becomes

$$\Phi_{i,k} - \Phi_{k,i} = -2\dot{\omega}_{ik}, \quad \omega_{ik,l}\epsilon^{ikl} = 0 \tag{2.13}$$

in special coordinates, it is easy to see from (2.9) and (2.13b), that the Coriolis force field can be derived from a harmonic function c with $\omega_{ik} = \epsilon_{ikl}c_{,l}$. Given suitable boundary conditions, this function must be a spatial constant (see the discussion in section 3). The Coriolis force then vanishes, and the acceleration force derives from the gradient of a Newtonian potential Φ (see (2.13a), which in Galilean coordinates satisfies the familiar potential equation

$$\Phi_{,kk} = 4\pi G\rho. \tag{2.14}$$

Under these assumptions, the Newton-Cartan theory reduces to Newton's original theory.

3 Newtonian limit of GR

To derive the Newton-Cartan theory of the last section from the field equations of General Relativity, consider a family $g^{\mu\nu}(x^\mu, \eta)$ of contravariant metrics, which depend smoothly on a parameter η. Let the signature of $g^{\mu\nu}$ be $(-+++)$ for every $\eta \neq 0$, and let $g^{\mu\nu}$ for $\eta \to 0$ tend to a limit $h^{\mu\nu}$ of rank 3 and signature $(0+++)$. $h^{\mu\nu}$ has just one eigenvector t_μ with zero eigenvalue:

$$h^{\mu\nu}t_\nu = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

For small η the contravariant metric components $g^{\mu\nu}(x^\mu, \eta)$ may be written as a power law expansion in η:

$$g^{\mu\nu}(x^\mu, \eta) = h^{\mu\nu} + \eta h^{\mu\nu}_{(1)} + \eta^2 h^{\mu\nu}_{(2)} + \eta^3 h^{\mu\nu}_{(3)} + o(\eta^4). \tag{3.2}$$

The corresponding covariant components of the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}(x^\mu, \eta)$ should admit an asymptotic representation starting with negative powers of η:

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x^\mu, \eta) = \alpha_{\mu\nu}/\eta^2 + \beta_{\mu\nu}/\eta + \gamma_{\mu\nu} + \eta\gamma^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} + \eta^2\gamma^{(2)}_{\mu\nu} + o(\eta^3). \tag{3.3}$$

From $g_{\mu\alpha}g^{\nu\alpha} = \delta^\nu_\mu$ one obtains a number of relations between co- and contravariant quantities:

$$\begin{aligned}
h^{\mu\rho}\alpha_{\nu\rho} &= 0, && (3.4)\\
h^{\mu\rho}\beta_{\nu\rho} + h^{\mu\rho}_{(1)}\alpha_{\nu\rho} &= 0, && (3.5)\\
h^{\mu\rho}\gamma_{\nu\rho} + h^{\mu\rho}_{(1)}\beta_{\nu\rho} + h^{\mu\rho}_{(2)}\alpha_{\nu\rho} &= \delta^\mu_\nu, && (3.6)\\
h^{\mu\rho}\gamma^{(1)}_{\nu\rho} + h^{\mu\rho}_{(1)}\gamma_{\nu\rho} + h^{\mu\rho}_{(2)}\beta_{\nu\rho} + h^{\mu\rho}_{(3)}\alpha_{\nu\rho} &= 0, && (3.7)\\
h^{\mu\rho}\gamma^{(2)}_{\nu\rho} + h^{\mu\rho}_{(1)}\gamma^{(1)}_{\nu\rho} + h^{\mu\rho}_{(2)}\gamma_{\nu\rho} + h^{\mu\rho}_{(3)}\beta_{\nu\rho} + h^{\mu\rho}_{(4)}\alpha_{\nu\rho} &= 0. && (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Equation (3.4) shows that $\alpha_{\mu\nu} = at_\mu t_\nu$, and multiplying (3.5) with t_μ gives $ah^{\rho\sigma}t_\rho t_\sigma = 0$. Thus either $a = 0$ or $h^{\rho\sigma}t_\rho t_\sigma = 0$. With $a = 0$, the covariant

metric tensor would start with a $1/\eta$ component. This corresponds to the procedure considered in [5],[7], where $\eta = 1/c^2$. Here we assume $a \neq 0$ and $h^{\rho\sigma}_{(1)} t_\rho t_\sigma = 0$ as well as $\eta = 1/c$. Furthermore, in order to simplify the treatment, we assume $\beta_{\mu\nu} = 0$ subsequently. Taking $\beta_{\mu\nu}$ different from zero produces non-Newtonian shadow fields, which must be eliminated anyway by using the field equations.

Absorbing the factor a into t_μ, we can write

$$\alpha_{\mu\nu} = -t_\mu t_\nu, \tag{3.9}$$

the minus sign is necessary for a correct signature of $g_{\mu\nu}$. (3.5) shows that also $h^{\mu\nu}_{(1)}$ is degenerate and admits the same eigenvector t_μ as $h^{\mu\nu}$. The fields describing Newton's approximation level are hidden in the expansion term $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$, thus $\gamma^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\gamma^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}$ should describe post-Newtonian effects. As we shall see, $\gamma^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}$ contains only shadow fields which are apparently not relevant physically. In the contravariant components the fields describing different approximation levels are spread over a number of terms. For example, post-Newtonian fields are hidden in the time-time component of $h^{\mu\nu}_{(6)}$, in the space-time components of $h^{\mu\nu}_{(4)}$ and in the space-space components of $h^{\mu\nu}_{(2)}$.

Concomitants of the metric tensor are in general singular for $\eta \to 0$. The Christoffel symbols admit a representation

$$\Gamma^\mu_{\rho\sigma} = \Gamma^{\mu(-2)}_{\rho\sigma}/\eta^2 + \Gamma^{\mu(-1)}_{\rho\sigma}/\eta + \Gamma^{\mu(0)}_{\rho\sigma}/\eta + \eta\Gamma^{\mu(1)}_{\rho\sigma} + \eta^2\Gamma^{\mu(2)}_{\rho\sigma} + o(\eta^3) \tag{3.10}$$

and the Riccitensor starts with $1/\eta^4$ terms:

$$R_{\mu\nu} = R^{(-4)}_{\mu\nu}/\eta^4 + R^{(-3)}_{\mu\nu}/\eta^3 + R^{(-2)}_{\mu\nu}/\eta^2 + R^{(-1)}_{\mu\nu}/\eta + R^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} + \eta R^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} + \eta^2 R^{(2)}_{\mu\nu} + o(\eta^3) \tag{3.11}$$

For every η, the metric is constraint to satisfy the field equations, which we write with

$$T^*_{\mu\nu} = \kappa(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} T) \tag{3.12}$$

as

$$R_{\mu\nu} = T^*_{\mu\nu}. \tag{3.13}$$

The behaviour of $T^*_{\mu\nu}$ for $\eta \to 0$ depends on the fields which constitute the matter tensor. For a perfect fluid, which will be assumed throughout this paper, $T^*_{\mu\nu}$ tends to a finite limit for $\eta \to 0$ (see the first Appendix). This means that all singular terms in the Ricci tensor expansion (3.11) must vanish separately.

We first calculate the coefficients of the expansion (3.10), which are easily derived from (3.2), (3.3), using the relations (3.1), (3.9). With the notation $t_{[\mu,\nu]} = \frac{1}{2}(t_{\mu,\nu} - t_{\nu,\mu})$, one obtains

$$\Gamma^{\mu(-2)}_{\rho\sigma} = h^{\mu\lambda}(t_\rho t_{[\sigma,\lambda]} + t_\sigma t_{[\rho,\lambda]}), \tag{3.14}$$

$$\Gamma^{\mu(-1)}_{\rho\sigma} = h^{\mu\lambda}_{(1)}(t_\rho t_{[\sigma,\lambda]} + t_\sigma t_{[\rho,\lambda]}), \tag{3.15}$$

$$\Gamma^{\mu(0)}_{\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}h^{\mu\lambda}(\gamma_{\lambda\rho,\sigma}+\gamma_{\lambda\sigma,\rho}-\gamma_{\rho\sigma,\lambda}) + h^{\mu\lambda}_{(2)}(t_\rho t_{[\sigma,\lambda]}+t_\sigma t_{[\rho,\lambda]}-\frac{1}{2}t_\lambda(t_{\rho,\sigma}+t_{\sigma,\rho})) \quad (3.16)$$

The lowest order coefficients in the Riccitensor expansion (3.11) come from terms which are nonlinear in the Christoffel symbols:

$$R^{(-4)}_{\mu\nu} = h^{\alpha\beta}h^{\rho\sigma}t_{[\alpha,\rho]}t_{[\beta,\sigma]}. \quad (3.17)$$

Putting (3.17) equal to zero is equivalent to

$$t_\mu = ft_{,\mu}, \quad (3.18)$$

cf. [7]. Thus the vector t_μ can be reduced to two scalar functions $f(x^\mu), t(x^\mu)$. With (3.18), $R^{(-3)}_{\mu\nu} = 0$ is already satisfied, and the leading coefficients in the connection become

$$\Gamma^{\mu(-2)}_{\rho\sigma} = ff_{,\nu}h^{\mu\nu}t_{,\rho}t_{,\sigma}, \Gamma^{\mu(-1)}_{\rho\sigma} = ff_{,\nu}h^{\mu\nu}_{(1)}t_{,\rho}t_{,\sigma}, \quad (3.19)$$

The first non-vanishing coefficients of the Riccitensor are now $R^{(-2)}_{\mu\nu}$ and $R^{(-1)}_{\mu\nu}$. To extract the content of $R^{(-2)}_{\mu\nu} = 0$, it is appropriate to choose a special coordinate system with $x^0 = t$. From (3.6) one obtains (latin indices take the values 1,2,3)

$$h^{0\mu} = 0, h^{il}\gamma_{kl} = \delta^i_k, h^{00}_{(2)} = -1/f^2, h^{0k}_{(2)} = \gamma_{0l}h^{kl}. \quad (3.20)$$

After some simplifiations using (3.20), $R^{(-2)}_{00} = 0$ takes the simple form of a Laplacian applied to the scalar function f in the three-dimensional space $t = const$ with the intrinsic metric γ_{ik}

$$\Delta f = 0. \quad (3.21)$$

We have obtained the Newton-Cartan theory in a slightly extended form, involving an additional function f called time function, since the relation between Newtonian time t and the relativistic proper time s is given by $ds/dt = cf(x^i, t)$. Its physical relevance is not clear. f must be a harmonic function, a completely source-free solution of the covariant Laplace equation. There exist many local solutions of this equation, for example harmonic polynomials, but one expects that they are generated by sources near spatial infinity. If suitable global conditions such as a constant boundary value at spatial infinity or the compactness of the hypersurfaces $t = const$ are imposed, one can conclude that f is a constant with respect to spatial coordinates. Without loss of generality we may assume $f = 1$. Then *all* conditions $R^{(-2)}_{\mu\nu} = 0$ are satisfied, moreover, this also holds for the relations $R^{(-1)}_{\mu\nu} = 0$. The Christoffel symbol expansion now starts with the finite term

$$\Gamma^{\mu(0)}_{\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}h^{\mu\lambda}(\gamma_{\lambda\rho,\sigma}+\gamma_{\lambda\sigma,\rho}-\gamma_{\rho\sigma,\lambda}) - h^{\mu\lambda}_{(2)}t_{,\lambda}t_{,\rho\sigma}, \quad (3.22)$$

which transforms as an affine connection under arbitrary coordinate transformations in spite of its unusual appearence. The Ricci tensor calculated to lowest order reduces to the Ricci tensor build from the connection (3.22), and the field equations take with (5.3) the form (2.3). We have recovered the Newton-Cartan theory, with (3.22) as a Newtonian connection. The relations (2.1) and (2.2) may be checked in a special coordinate system $x^0 = t$.

4 Post-Newtonian extension

Going now to the first post-Newtonian order, we have first to consider the expansion components $\Gamma^{\rho(1)}_{\mu\nu}$ of the connection. Subsequently, we use always the special coordinate system with $t = x^0$ and $\gamma_{ik} = \delta_{ik}$. From (3.7) follows $h^{ik}_{(1)} = -\gamma^{(1)}_{ik}$, and a straightforward calculation gives

$$\Gamma^{0(1)}_{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{4.1}$$

$$\Gamma^{i(1)}_{00} = \frac{1}{2}(2\gamma^{(1)}_{i0,0} - \gamma^{(1)}_{00,i} \tag{4.2}$$

$$\Gamma^{i(1)}_{0k} = \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{(1)}_{ik,0} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{(1)}_{0i,k} - \gamma^{(1)}_{0k,i} \tag{4.3}$$

$$\Gamma^{i(1)}_{kl} = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^{(1)}_{ik,l} + \gamma^{(1)}_{il,k} - \gamma^{(1)}_{ll,i}. \tag{4.4}$$

Equation (5.4) shows that the field equations involving $R^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}$ are sourceless. The spatial components give

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{il,kl} + \gamma^{(1)}_{kl,il} - \gamma^{(1)}_{ik,ll} - \gamma^{(1)}_{ll,ik} = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

A lemma by Rendall [21] ensures that any solution to (4.5) must have the form $\gamma^{(1)}_{ik} = \xi_{i,k} + \xi_{k,i}$. Using the gauge transformation (6.2) with $f^k = \xi_k$, we may write

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{ik} = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

$R^{(1)}_{0k} = 0$ reduces to

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{0l,il} - \gamma^{(1)}_{0i,ll} = 0. \tag{4.7}$$

$\gamma^{(1)}_{0k}$ transforms under gauges as

$$\bar{\gamma}^{(1)}_{0k} = \gamma^{(1)}_{0k} + h^0_{,k} - f^k_{,0}. \tag{4.8}$$

with $f^k_{,0l} = 0$, see (6.4). With a suitable gauge function h^0 as solution of $h^0_{,kk} = -\gamma^{(1)}_{0k,k}$, the components $\gamma^{(1)}_{0i}$ must be harmonic functions. In view of the discussion in the previous section we assume $\gamma^{(1)}_{0i} = const$, the spatial constants (which may be time functions) can be transformed to zero with a suitable

f^k. The last equation $R^{(1)}_{00} = 0$ can then be written $\gamma^{(1)}_{00,kk} = 0$, hence $\gamma^{(1)}_{00} = 0$ with a similar argument. Thus the fields $h^{\mu\nu}_{(1)}$ or $\gamma^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}$ play only a shadow role: Correct at least up to the first post-Newtonian order, we could have started with an expansion in powers of the reciproke *square* of the velocity of light.
The first non-vanishing post-Newtonian components of the connection are $\Gamma^{\rho(2)}_{\mu\nu}$, which are easily calculated:

$$\Gamma^{0(2)}_{00} = \Phi_{,0} \ , \Gamma^{0(2)}_{0k} = \Phi_{,k} \ , \Gamma^{0(2)}_{ik} = 0 \tag{4.9}$$

$$\Gamma^{i(2)}_{00} = h^{ik}_{(2)}\Phi_{,k} + \gamma^{(2)}_{0i,0} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{(2)}_{00,i} \tag{4.10}$$

$$\Gamma^{i(2)}_{0k} = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^{(2)}_{0i,k} - \gamma^{(2)}_{0k,i} - \gamma^{(2)}_{ik,0}) \tag{4.11}$$

$$\Gamma^{i(2)}_{kl} = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^{(2)}_{ik,l} + \gamma^{(2)}_{il,k} - \gamma^{(2)}_{kl,i}) \tag{4.12}$$

Notice $\gamma^{(2)}_{kl} = -h^{kl}_{(2)}$ from (3.8). We start with the equations $R^{(2)}_{ik} = T^{*(2)}_{ik}$, explicity

$$\gamma^{(2)}_{il,kl} + \gamma^{(2)}_{kl,il} - \gamma^{(2)}_{ik,ll} - \gamma^{(2)}_{ll,ik} = 2\Phi_{,ik} + 8\pi G\rho\delta_{ik}. \tag{4.13}$$

Here we again use Rendall's lemma in a different form: Denote the left-hand-side of (4.12) by p_{ik}. If $p_{i[k,l]} - \frac{1}{4}\delta_{i[k}p_{,l]} = 0$, where $p = p_{kk}$, then $\gamma^{(2)}_{ik}$ can be written as $U\delta_{ik} + \xi_{i,k} + \xi_{k,i}$. The condition for p_{ik} is equivalent to (2.14), moreover $U = -2\Phi$. The gauge terms in $\gamma^{(2)}_{ik}$ can be transformed away (assume $g^i = \xi_i$ in (6.3)), so one is left with

$$\gamma^{(2)}_{ik} = -2\Phi\delta_{ik}. \tag{4.14}$$

The remaining equations for $R^{(2)}_{0k}$ and $R^{(2)}_{00}$ determine the vector potential $\Psi_k \equiv \gamma^{(2)}_{0k}$ and the post-Newtonian scalar potential $\Psi \equiv -\gamma^{(2)}_{00}/2$. One obtains

$$\Psi_{k,ll} - \Psi_{l,lk} = 4\Phi_{,k0} + 16G\rho v^k. \tag{4.15}$$

$$\Psi_{,kk} + \Psi_{k,k0} + 3\Phi_{,00} - 2\Phi_{,k}\Phi_{,k} = 8\pi G\rho(v^2 + 3p/(2\rho)). \tag{4.16}$$

Writing $2\Phi_{,k}\Phi_{,k} = (\Phi^2)_{,kk} - 2\Phi\Phi_{,kk}$, and using (2.14), which is allowed within post-Newtonian terms, we have

$$(\Psi - \Phi^2)_{,ll} + (\Psi_{l,l} + 3\Phi_{,0})_{,0} = 8\pi G\rho(v^2 - \Phi + 3p/(2\rho)). \tag{4.17}$$

Defining a potential χ by

$$\chi_{,kk} = 2\Phi, \tag{4.18}$$

(4.15) may be written

$$(\Psi_k - \frac{1}{2}\chi_{,0k})_{,ll} - (\Psi_{l,l} + 3\Phi_{,0})_{,k} = 16\pi G\rho v^k. \tag{4.19}$$

Ψ and Ψ_k are subject to the gauges

$$\bar{\Psi} = \Psi - k^0_{,0}, \quad \bar{\Psi_k} = \Psi_k + k^0_{,k} - g^k_{,0} \tag{4.20}$$

with $g^k_{,l} = 0$, since g^k was already taken to cancel gauge terms in $\gamma^{(2)}_{ik}$. One sees at once that (4.15) and (4.16) resp. (4.17) and (4.19) are gauge invariant. For a particular gauge

$$\Psi_{l,l} + 3\Phi_{,0} = 0, \tag{4.21}$$

chosen by Chandrasekhar [3], the field equations simplify to Laplacians and can easily by solved.

To the field equations one has to add the equations of motion for the fluid. To Newtonian order they are given by (2.4),(2.5), or explicitly in Galilean coordinates:

$$\rho_{,0} + (\rho v^k)_{,k} = 0, \quad v^k_{,0} + \Phi_{,k} + v^k_{,l} v^l = 0. \tag{4.22}$$

For the post-Newtonian terms we start with the general-relativistic expresssions

$$\begin{aligned} u^\mu \rho_{,\mu} + (\rho + \eta^2 p) u^\mu_{;\mu} &= 0, \\ (\rho + \eta^2 p) u^\mu_{;\rho} u^\rho + (g^{\mu\rho} + u^\mu u^\rho) p_{,\rho} \eta^2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and use the expansion of section 3 as well as (5.2). The result is again invariant with respect to post-Newtonian gauges:

$$\rho_{,0} + (\rho v^k)_{,k} + \eta^2 (p v^k_{,k} - 3\Phi_{,0}\rho - 4\Phi_{,k} v^k \rho) = 0, \tag{4.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned} v^i_{,0} + \Phi_{,i} + v^i_{,l} v^l + \eta^2 (\Psi_{,i} + \Psi_{i,0} - 3\Phi_{,0} v^i + 2\Phi\Phi_{,i} \\ + (\Psi_{i,k} - \Psi_{k,i}) v_k - 4 v^i \Phi_{,k} v^k + v^2 \Phi, i + v^i (p_{,0} + v^k p_{,k})/\rho) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

The post-Newtonian field equations (4.19),(4.21) as well as the hydrodynamical equations (4.23,4.24) are formally identical with the corresponding equations derived by Chandrasekhar [3], provided, the gauge (4.21) is adopted (note that in [3] an internal energy density is introduced, which is neglected here).

This does not necessarily mean that they also coincide with regard to the prediction of experiments involving post-Newtonian gravity, since the basic framework is different. In particular, as far as the comparison of the theory with experiments using light rays is concerned, the Newton-Cartan theory must be supplemented by the usual Lorentz-covariant electrodynamics instead of its corresponding Newtonian limit. This may be done by introducing an ether concept in a way also suggested by Trautman [23].

Thus it appears that presently there is no practical need to employ the Newton-Cartan road to post-Newtonian corrections.

5 Appendix: Matter tensor expansion

For the matter tensor a perfect fluid ansatz will be made. For the components (3.12) one can write with $\kappa = 8\pi G\eta^2$

$$T^*_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G[(\rho + p\eta^2)u_\mu u_\nu + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}(\rho - p\eta^2)]\eta^2. \tag{5.1}$$

The relativistic four velocity $u^\mu = dx^\mu/ds$ is related to the Newtonian velocity $v^\mu = dx^\mu/dt$, where t is the Newtonian time as defined in (3.18), by $u^\mu = v^\mu dt/ds$. dt/ds is found from (3.3) as

$$\frac{dt}{ds} = \eta(1 - \gamma_{\mu\nu}v^\mu v^\nu\eta^2 - \gamma^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}v^\mu v^\nu\eta^3 + o(\eta^4))^{-1/2} \tag{5.2}$$

Expanding in powers of η, one obtains for the lowest order coefficients of $T^*_{\mu\nu}$

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{*(0)}_{\mu\nu} &= 4\pi G\rho t_{,\mu}t_{,\nu} && (5.3)\\
T^{*(1)}_{\mu\nu} &= 0 && (5.4)\\
T^{*(2)}_{\mu\nu} &= 4\pi G t_{,\mu}t_\nu(3p + 2\rho\gamma_{\rho\sigma}v^\rho v^\sigma) + 4\pi G\rho\gamma_{\mu\nu} \\
&\quad -8\pi G\rho(t_{,\mu}\gamma_{\nu\alpha}v^\alpha + t_{,\nu}\gamma_{\mu\alpha}v^\alpha). && (5.5)
\end{aligned}$$

6 Appendix: Gauge transformations

Like fields also coordinate transformations may be expanded in powers of η. We write for the transformed coordinates

$$\bar{x}^\mu = x^\mu_0 + f^\mu\eta + g^\mu\eta^2 + h^\mu\eta^3 + k^\mu\eta^4 + o(\eta^5), \tag{6.1}$$

where all functions on the rhs depend on the original coordinates x^μ. All expansion coefficients in (3.2), (3.3), (3.11) as well as the singular components of the connection (3.10) transform as tensors under the zero-order transformation $\bar{x}^\mu = x^\mu_0$. Assuming $\bar{x}^\mu = x^\mu$ in (6.1) leads to various gauge transformations. From the tensor transformation law for $g^{\mu\nu}$ one obtains (we actually need terms up to the sixth order in η)

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{h}^{\mu\nu}_{(1)} &= h^{\mu\nu}_{(1)} + f^\mu_{,\rho}h^{\nu\rho} + f^\nu_{,\rho}h^{\mu\rho} && (6.2)\\
\bar{h}^{\mu\nu}_{(2)} &= h^{\mu\nu}_{(2)} + f^\mu_{,\rho}h^{\nu\rho}_{(1)} + f^\nu_{,\rho}h^{\mu\rho}_{(1)} + f^\mu_{,\rho}f^\nu_{,\sigma}h^{\rho\sigma} + g^\mu_{,\rho}h^{\nu\rho} + g^\nu_{,\rho}h^{\mu\rho} && (6.3)\\
\bar{h}^{\mu\nu}_{(3)} &= h^{\mu\nu}_{(3)} + f^\mu_{,\rho}h^{\nu\rho}_{(2)} + f^\nu_{,\rho}h^{\mu\rho}_{(2)} + g^\mu_{,\rho}h^{\nu\rho}_{(1)} + g^\nu_{,\rho}h^{\mu\rho}_{(1)} + f^\mu_{,\rho}f^\nu_{,\sigma}h^{\rho\sigma}_{(1)} \\
&\quad +(f^\mu_{,\rho}g^\nu_{,\sigma} + g^\mu_{,\rho}f^\nu_{,\sigma})h^{\rho\sigma} + h^\mu_{,\rho}h^{\nu\rho} + h^\nu_{,\rho}h^{\mu\rho} && (6.4)\\
\bar{h}^{\mu\nu}_{(4)} &= h^{\mu\nu}_{(4)} + f^\mu_{,\rho}h^{\nu\rho}_{(3)} + f^\nu_{,\rho}h^{\mu\rho}_{(3)} + g^\mu_{,\rho}h^{\nu\rho}_{(2)} + g^\nu_{,\rho}h^{\mu\rho}_{(2)} + f^\mu_{,\rho}f^\nu_{,\sigma}h^{\rho\sigma}_{(2)} \\
&\quad +h^\mu_{,\rho}h^{\nu\rho}_{(1)} + h^\nu_{,\rho}h^{\mu\rho}_{(1)} + (f^\mu_{,\rho}g^\nu_{,\sigma} + g^\mu_{,\rho}f^\nu_{,\sigma})h^{\rho\sigma}_{(1)} + (f^\mu_{,\rho}h^\nu_{,\sigma} + h^\mu_{,\rho}f^\nu_{,\sigma})h^{\rho\sigma} \\
&\quad +g^\mu_{,\rho}g^\nu_{,\sigma}h^{\rho\sigma} + k^\mu_{,\rho}h^{\nu\rho} + k^\nu_{,\rho}h^{\mu\rho} && (6.5)
\end{aligned}$$

References

[1] E. Cartan, Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure **40**, 325 (1923), and **41**, 1 (1924)

[2] E. Cartan, J. Math. Pures Appl. **2**, 167 (1923)

[3] S. Chandrasekhar, Astrophys. J. **142**, 1488 (1965), Astrophys. J. **158**, 45 (1969), S. Chandrasekhar and Y. Nutku, Astrophys. J. **158**, 55 (1969),

[4] Y. Choquet-Bruhat, D. Christodoulou **145**, 129 (1981)

[5] G. Dautcourt, Acta Phys. Pol. **25**, 637 (1964), Wiss. Zeitschrift d. Friedrich-Schiller Universität Jena, Math.-nat. Reihe **15**, 87 (1966)

[6] G. Dautcourt, Math. Nachrichten **40**, 333 (1969)

[7] G. Dautcourt, Acta Phys. Pol. **B 21**, 755 (1991)

[8] G. Dautcourt, Gen. Rel. Grav. **22**, 765 (1990)

[9] C. Duval, H.P. Künzle, General Relativity and Gravitation **16**, 333 (1984)

[10] C. Duval, H.P. Künzle, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré **41**, 363 (1984)

[11] J. Ehlers, Über den Newtonschen Grenzwert der Einsteinschen Gravitationstheorie, in J. Nitsch et al. (editors) Grundlagenprobleme der modernen Physik, Bibliographisches Institut Mannheim, 1981

[12] K. Friedrichs, Mathematische Annalen **98**, 566 (1928)

[13] O. Heckmann, Theorien der Kosmologie, Springer-Verlag Berlin, 1968

[14] O. Heckmann, E. Schücking, Newtonsche und Einsteinsche Kosmologie, in Handbuch der Physik, Band LIII, Springer-Verlag, 1959

[15] B. Julia, H. Nicolai, Nucl. Phys. **B439**, 291 (1995)

[16] H.P. Künzle, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré **17**, 337 (1972)

[17] H.P. Künzle, General Relativity and Gravitation **7**, 495 (1976)

[18] M. Lottermoser, "Über den Newtonschen Grenzwert der Allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie und die relativistische Erweiterung Newtonscher Anfangsdaten", Dissertation München 1988

[19] M. Lottermoser, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré **57**, 279 (1992)

[20] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne and J.A. Wheeler, Gravitation, W.H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco 1973

[21] A. D. Rendall, Proc. R. Soc Lond. A **438** , 341 (1992)

[22] C. Rüede and N. Straumann, On Newton-Cartan Cosmology, Preprint ZU-TH-12/96, gr-qc/9604054

[23] A. Trautman, in B. Hoffmann (editor) Perspectives in Geometry and Relativity, Bloomington, 1966, p. 413

[24] A. Trautman, Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris **247**, 617 (1963)

[25] C. M. Will, Theory an experiment in gravitational physics, Cambridge University Press, 1993